DECLARATION OF PREVIOUS COLLABORATION WITH THE PI

Please state if you know the Principal Investigator personally or if you have previously encountered			
th	e Pl's work, cited his/her papers or assessed his/her work.		

Please grade the following criteria by using grades from 1 to 5:

- **1– Poor.** The criterion or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information or the criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses.
- **2- Fair.** The criterion is broadly addresses, but there are significant weaknesses.
- **3– Good.** The criterion is addressed well, but a number of shortcomings are present.
- **4– Very good.** The criterion is addressed very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present.
- **5– Excellent.** All relevant aspects of the criterion are successfully addressed. Any shortcomings are minor.

Note: Please use whole/integer numbers only. Decimal numbers (4.2., 3.5, etc.) are not allowed.

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY AND RESEARCH RELEVANCE	
Evaluate the importance of the proposed topic (i. e. <i>importance of the research question</i>) in relation to the state-of-the-art?	5
Evaluate quality and innovativeness of the project proposal. To what extent is this project proposal competitive in relation to the existing research? If the project proposal is interdisciplinary or multidisciplinary, are different approaches integrated meaningfully and effectively?	5
To what extent is the proposed methodology appropriate and up to date?	5
Evaluate expected scientific impact (publications in top journals in the research field, monographs, datasets, software, patents) as well as social or economic impact?	5
Total	20
Describe the scientific quality and research relevance briefly.	

PROJECT PROPOSAL FEASIBILITY	
Is the work plan feasible and sound? To what extent are the planned objectives and results realistic? Are potential risks identified and proper risk mitigation measures proposed?	5
Is the size of the research group adequate for the proposed research project?	5
Are the competences of research group members adequate for the proposed research project? In case of bilateral and trilateral project proposals, is collaboration of the partner research groups added value for implementation of project?	5
Total	15
Please briefly describe the project proposal feasibility.	

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR'S QUALITY	
Evaluate the five-year track record of the PI (e.g. important publications, monographs, invited lectures, awards, memberships). <i>In case of bilateral or trilateral projects, evaluate PIs from partner organisations as well, and use the average grade for all evaluated PIs.</i>	5
Evaluate PI's overall scientific and professional contribution to the research area. In case of bilateral or trilateral projects, evaluate PIs from partner organisations as well, and use the average grade for all evaluated PIs.	5
Evaluate PI's capacity to lead and manage the research group as well as to mentor and train early career researchers? <i>In case of bilateral or trilateral projects, evaluate PIs from partner organisations as well, and use the average grade for all evaluated PIs.</i>	5
Total	15
Please briefly describe the Pl's quality.	

PROJECT PROPOSAL'S MAIN STRENGHTS

(Please briefly describe, using a minimum of 50 words.)

RESEARCH PROJECTS (IP-2024-05) Peer Review Evaluation Form

in this form.

PROJECT PROPOSAL'S MAIN WEAKNESSES
(Please briefly describe, using a minimum of 50 words.)
Recommendations for project proposal funding (please choose one of the following options):
☐ I propose the funding of the project proposal in this form. ☐
☑ - I propose the funding of the project proposal with minor changes.
🗓 - Project proposal requires significant changes. I do not propose the funding of the project proposal