

**DECLARATION OF PREVIOUS COLLABORATION WITH THE PI**

Please state if you know the Principal Investigator personally or if you have previously encountered the PI's work, cited his/her papers, or assessed his/her work.

**Please grade the following criteria by using grades from 1 to 5:**

**1- Poor.** The criterion or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information or the criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses.

**2- Fair.** The criterion is broadly addressed, but there are significant weaknesses.

**3- Good.** The criterion is addressed well, but numerous shortcomings are present.

**4- Very good.** The criterion is addressed very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present.

**5- Excellent.** All relevant aspects of the criterion are successfully addressed. Any possible shortcomings are minor.

In order for the project proposal to be recommended for funding it should meet:

- the minimum score of 30 points
- the score for the criteria "PROJECT PROPOSAL FEASIBILITY" should not be less than 10

*Note: Please use whole/integer numbers only. Decimal numbers (4.2., 3.5, etc.) are not allowed.*

<b>SCIENTIFIC QUALITY OF THE PROJECT PROPOSAL</b>	
Please evaluate the innovative aspects and the working hypothesis.	5
Please evaluate the merit and importance of the proposed project, in relation to current research in the corresponding scientific field.	5
Please evaluate the possible impact, if successful, this research project may have on the scientific field. What is the potential for publishing results in influential scientific journals and/or scientific books?	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>15</b>
<i>Describe the scientific quality and research relevance briefly.</i>	

INSTALLATION RESEARCH PROJECTS (UIP-2025-02)  
Peer Review Evaluation Form

<b>PROJECT PROPOSAL FEASIBILITY</b>	
To what extent is the proposed methodology feasible in the given research environment?	5
Please evaluate the profile and qualifications of the research team and the facilities available for performing the research.	5
Please evaluate the feasibility of the work plan. (Take into consideration if the project proposal is feasible regarding the goals and results, publication plan as well as the available resources. Does the project proposal state all potential risks and include a contingency plan if required?)	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>15</b>
<i>Please briefly describe the project proposal's feasibility.</i>	

<b>PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR'S QUALITY</b>	
Please evaluate the Principal Investigator's (PI) qualifications, scientific productivity and research track record. Eligible PI acquired is PhD not less than 2, but not more than 10 years ago from the closing date of the present Call and has an excellent career track record and demonstrates the potential for managing a research group and the development of new research topics and/or methodologies.	
Has the Principal Investigator already demonstrated the capacity and ability to explore new and important scientific topics?	5
Please evaluate the qualifications and potential of the Principal Investigator to establish and lead an independent research group.	5
Please evaluate the contribution of the PI to the research in the scientific field.	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>15</b>
<i>Please summarize your assessment of the PI.</i>	

**PROJECT PROPOSAL'S MAIN STRENGTHS**

*(Please briefly describe, using a minimum of 50 words.)*

*INSTALLATION RESEARCH PROJECTS (UIP-2025-02)*

*Peer Review Evaluation Form*

**PROJECT PROPOSAL'S MAIN WEAKNESSES**

*(Please briefly describe, using a minimum of 50 words.)*

Recommendations for project proposal funding (please choose one of the following options):

*x- I propose the funding of the project proposal in this form.*

*x - I propose the funding of the project proposal with minor changes.*

*x - Project proposal requires significant changes. I do not propose the funding of the project proposal in this form.*