

RESEARCH PROJECTS (Call identifier: IP-2020-10)
Project proposal evaluation criteria – first evaluation round

Applicant	YES	NO
<p>1. The Applicant has been an active researcher in the past 5 years, as evident from the following:</p> <p>Five published papers in leading international journals from his/her area of research, in which s/he is the lead or corresponding author, ranked in the first quartile of journals within the scientific area in question according to relevant databases of science (Web of Science or Scopus) <i>(for Applicants in Social Sciences or Humanities, at least half of the displayed publications must meet the stated condition of published papers, books or papers in internationally peer-reviewed publications).</i></p>		
<p>2. The Applicant is a prominent scientist with internationally recognised achievements in science and/or technology in the area in which the project proposal is being submitted, as evident from the following:¹</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • guest lectures or seminars at prestigious institutions abroad and/or guest/introductory/plenary lectures at international scientific conferences, invited lectures at advanced schools • international conferences, congresses or symposia that he/she organised or was a member of the Coordination/Management or Organisation Board • international recognitions, such as scientific awards or memberships of scientific and expert associations • participation in scientific projects (EU Framework Programmes, Horizon 2020, NIH, HERA and similar projects) • reviewer of articles in reputable international scientific journals in his/her scientific area • member of Editorial Boards of international scientific journals in his/her scientific area • proven application of research results in the economic and/or social sector and registered international patents. 		
<p>3. The Applicant has recorded and significant mentoring experience, which is evident from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • published joint papers with graduates and/or doctoral students • successful mentoring of doctoral students. 		

Project proposal	YES	NO
<p>1. The project proposal deals with internationally and/or nationally relevant issues (significantly present in the best journals, proceedings or books in the field of research).</p>		
<p>2. The project proposal has the potential to significantly improve existing knowledge in the scientific field (it is competitive compared to the best in the field). If the Applicant has already participated in HRZZ projects, does the proposed project proposal show the development of the research topic in relation to already approved projects.</p>		

¹ Minimum of five criteria should be met in order for the answer to be YES.

RESEARCH PROJECTS (Call identifier: IP-2020-10)
Project proposal evaluation criteria – first evaluation round

3. The proposed methodology is appropriate for the proposed research.		
4. The work plan is clearly described, feasible and realistic, and the described resources enable the implementation of project activities and are related to the project work plan. Project proposals that do not meet this condition will not be sent to the second round of evaluation.		
5. The project proposal shows the strengthening and development of resources and research (competent and strong research group, connecting researchers and equipment, etc.). In the case of a bilateral or trilateral project, include an assessment of the list of achievements of the Co-applicants from the partner research groups.		
6. The proposed research offers a unique contribution to the field and it meets the terms of the Call which define the type of research financed by the Call ² .		
7. Does the Applicant have the support of the scientific institution and the conditions for conducting the proposed research? Does the institution provide all the necessary infrastructure and other conditions for the implementation of the project proposal (space, equipment, organizational and technical support)?		

The project proposal has:

- A- satisfactory qualities and is sent to the second round of evaluation (peer review)
- B- good quality, but not enough to be sent to the second round of evaluation (compared to others it is not among the best and given the program budget does not enter into further evaluation in this Call)

Please elaborate your evaluation: (at least 50 words)

² See point 3 off the Guidelines for Applicants – What type of research is financed by the Call?