

DECLARATION OF PREVIOUS COLLABORATION WITH THE PI

Please state if you know the Principal Investigator personally or if you have previously encountered the PI's work, cited his/her papers, or assessed his/her work.

Please grade the following criteria by using grades from 1 to 5:

1- Poor. The criterion or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information or the criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses.

2- Fair. The criterion is broadly addressed, but there are significant weaknesses.

3- Good. The criterion is addressed well, but numerous shortcomings are present.

4- Very good. The criterion is addressed very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present.

5- Excellent. All relevant aspects of the criterion are successfully addressed. Any possible shortcomings are minor.

In order for the project proposal to be recommended for funding it should meet:

- the minimum score of 30 points
- the score for the criteria "*PROJECT PROPOSAL FEASIBILITY*" should not be less than 10

Note: Please use whole/integer numbers only. Decimal numbers (4.2., 3.5, etc.) are not allowed.

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY AND RESEARCH RELEVANCE	
Evaluate the importance of the proposed topic (i.e. <i>importance of the research question</i>) in relation to the state-of-the-art.	5
Evaluate the quality and innovativeness of the project proposal. To what extent is this project proposal competitive in relation to the existing research? If the project proposal is interdisciplinary or multidisciplinary, are different approaches integrated meaningfully and effectively?	5
To what extent is the proposed methodology appropriate and up to date?	5
Evaluate expected scientific impact (publications in top journals in the research field, monographs, datasets, software, patents) as well as social or economic impact.	5
Total	20

RESEARCH PROJECTS (IP-2025-02)
Peer Review Evaluation Form

<i>Describe the scientific quality and research relevance briefly.</i>	
--	--

PROJECT PROPOSAL FEASIBILITY	
Is the work plan feasible and sound? To what extent are the planned objectives and results realistic? Are potential risks identified, and are proper risk mitigation measures proposed?	5
Is the size of the research group adequate for the proposed research project?	5
Are the competencies of research group members adequate for the proposed research project? In the case of bilateral and trilateral project proposals, is collaboration of the partner research groups added value for the implementation of the project?	5
Total	15
<i>Please briefly describe the project proposal's feasibility.</i>	

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR'S QUALITY	
Evaluate the five-year track record of the PI (e.g. important publications, monographs, invited lectures, awards, and memberships). <i>In case of bilateral or trilateral projects, evaluate PIs from partner organizations as well, and use the average grade for all evaluated PIs.</i>	5
Evaluate PI's capacity to lead and manage the research group as well as to mentor and train early career researchers. <i>In case of bilateral or trilateral projects, evaluate PIs from partner organizations as well, and use the average grade for all evaluated PIs.</i>	5
Total	10
<i>Please briefly describe the PI's quality.</i>	

<p>PROJECT PROPOSAL'S MAIN STRENGTHS <i>(Please briefly describe, using a minimum of 50 words.)</i></p>
--

PROJECT PROPOSAL'S MAIN WEAKNESSES

(Please briefly describe, using a minimum of 50 words.)

Recommendations for project proposal funding (please choose one of the following options):

x- I propose the funding of the project proposal in this form.

x - I propose the funding of the project proposal with minor changes.

x - The project proposal requires significant changes. I do not propose the funding of the project proposal in this form.