

**DECLARATION OF PREVIOUS COOPERATION WITH THE APPLICANT**

Please state if you know the applicant personally or if you have previously encountered the applicant's work, cited his papers or assessed his work.

--

**Please grade the following criteria by using grades from 1 to 5:**

**1 - Poor**

**2 - Fair**

**3 - Average**

**4 - Very good**

**5 - Excellent**

*Note: Please use whole/integer numbers only. Decimal numbers (4.2., 3.5, etc.) are not allowed.*

**SCIENTIFIC QUALITY AND RESEARCH RELEVANCE**

What is the importance of the proposed topic, i. e. *importance of the research question*, in relation to the entire research area?

What is the quality and innovativeness of the project? To what extent is this project proposal competitive in relation to existing research on the topic?

If the project proposal is interdisciplinary or multidisciplinary, does the topic require such approach? Has the work added or will it add to the knowledge or development of novel methodological approaches?

What is the potential for achievement of significant research outputs such as publication of project results in top journals (first quartile of the journals in the corresponding scientific area according to Web of Science database), datasets, software, book publication or the possibility of patenting?

*Please describe the scientific quality and research relevance briefly.*

**PROJECT PROPOSAL FEASIBILITY**

**How do you assess the feasibility and soundness of the work plan?**

(While assessing, please take into consideration the planned time, goals and results as

RESEARCH PROJECTS (IP-2022-10)  
2<sup>nd</sup> Round Evaluation Criteria (B)  
Peer Review

well as the available resources. To what extent are the planned goals, deliverables realistic? Does the project proposal state all potential risks and ways of dealing with them?)
How do you assess the number of research group with regard to the work planned?
How do you assess the compliance of scientific qualifications of research group members with the work planned? In case of bilateral and multilateral project proposals, are the research groups integrated so collaboration is an added value to the implementation of project activities?
To what extent is the proposed methodology appropriate, robust and up-to-date?
<i>Please describe the project proposal feasibility briefly.</i>

<b>PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR'S QUALITY</b>
What is the total scientific and professional contribution of the Principal Investigator in the research area? Please assess the professional contribution of the Principal Investigator of the partner research groups as well (in case of bilateral and multilateral projects).
What is the production of the Principal Investigator in the last 5 years in the context of research outputs such as publishing papers in journals in high-quality journals? Does the Principal Investigator have significant research outputs in the research field, such as several publications in leading international journals in area of research in which he/she is the lead or corresponding author, in the finest journals in the observed scientific area according to Web of Science, Scopus or DOAJ database or registered patent(s)? <i>If the disciplinary background of the Principal Investigator is the area of humanities or social sciences, does he/she have several papers published high-quality journals, peer-reviewed books and conference papers?</i>
How do you assess Principal Investigator's research group management competences (on the basis of quality of work published with the existing research group, former research group leadership, PhD students' mentorships)?
<i>Please describe briefly the Principal Investigator's quality.</i>

RESEARCH PROJECTS (IP-2022-10)

2<sup>nd</sup> Round Evaluation Criteria (B)

Peer Review

**PROJECT PROPOSAL'S MAIN STRENGTHS**

*(Please describe briefly using a minimum of 50 words.)*

**PROJECT PROPOSAL'S MAIN WEAKNESSES**

*(Please describe briefly using a minimum of 50 words.)*

Recommendations for project proposal funding (please choose one of the following options):

*A - I propose the funding of the project proposal in this form.*

*B - I propose the funding of the project proposal with minor changes.*

*C - Project proposal requires significant changes. I do not propose the funding of the project proposal in this form.*