## RESEARCH PROJECTS (IP-CORONA-2020-12) Evaluation Criteria - Peer Review

Please indicate whether you know the PI personally, or whether you have previously encountered the PI's work (for example, at conferences, meetings etc. or reading or citing the PI's work).

## Please evaluate by using grades from 1 to 5:

- 1- Poor
- 2- Fair
- 3- Average
- 4- Very good
- 5- Excellent

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY AND RESEARCH RELEVANCE	Grade (1-5)
How important are the research objectives in relation to the research theme of the IP- CORONA-2020-04 Call and the specific topic of the project proposal?	1-5
Please assess the quality and innovativeness of the proposed research.	1-5
Please evaluate the potential contribution of the project proposal to the IP-CORONA-2020-04 Call.	1-5
Please briefly comment on the scientific quality and research relevance	).
Total	

FEASIBILITY	Grade (1-5)
Please rate the composition of the research team and the scientific qualification of its members.	1-5
Please rate the relevance of the proposed methodology (including the requested budget).	1-5
Please assess the timeline of the project proposal.	1-5
Please briefly comment on the project proposal feasibility.	
Total	

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR	Grade (1-5)	
Please rate the scientific and professional contribution of the PI to the specific research field.	1-5	
Please rate the quality of the Pl's publications.	1-5	
Please briefly comment on the PI's scientific and professional qualificat	ions.	
Total		

## RESEARCH PROJECTS (IP-CORONA-2020-12) Evaluation Criteria - Peer Review

STRENGHTS					
(Please briefly	r comment by ι	sing a minimui	n of 50 words	s.)	
WEAKNESSE	·C				
	-				
(Please briefly	comment by ι	sing a minimul	n of 50 words	s.)	

## **Overall Scientific Merit Ranking**

Please rate the overall proposal to one of the descriptors provided.

Category	Descriptor
□ Excellent	Of very high scientific/research merit and highly likely to have an important impact on advancement of research in the field.
□ Very Good	Of high scientific/research merit and may potentially have an important impact on the research field.
□ Good	Of sound scientific/research merit but highly unlikely to have an important impact on the research field.
🗆 Fair	Has potential but needs further development.