Croatian Science Foundation

Installation Research Grants 2nd Round Evaluation Peer Review

Principal	
Investigator (PI)	
Due in at Title	
Project Title	
Institution	
DECLADATION: DELATIC	DNSHIP WITH THE APPLICANT
DECLARATION. RELATION	MISHIP WITH THE APPLICANT
	ship with the applicant:
	the applicant personally or if you have previously encountered the applicant's work
-	neard aspects of his work presented at a conference. Also, please state if you have
read or cited his papers p	prior to being asked to give this assessment.
PRINCIPAL INVESTIGA	TOR
Please evaluate the Prin	ncipal Investigator's (PI) qualifications, scientific productivity and research track
- :	her PhD not less than 2, but not more than 7 years ago from the closing date of
the present Call and ha	s an excellent career track record and demonstrates the potential for managing
a research group and th	ne development of new research topics and/or methodologies.
Has the Principal Inve	stigator already demonstrated the capacity and ability to explore new and
important scientific top	
independent research g	ualifications and potential of the Principal Investigator for establishing an group.
	Please summarize your assessment of the PI:

Croatian Science Foundation

SCIENTIFIC AND/OR TECHNOLOGICAL MERIT OF THE PROJECT PROPOSAL

Please evaluate the merit and importance of the proposed project, in relation to current research in the corresponding scientific field.

Please evaluate the innovative aspects, the working hypothesis, and methodology. How competitive is this project proposal in relation to current research in the scientific field?

Please evaluate the possible impact, if successful, this research project may have on the scientific field. What is the potential for publishing results in influential scientific journals (with high impact factor) and/or scientific books? Alternatively, what is the potential for research commercialization of results or the possibility of patenting?

Please summarize your assessment of the merit and importance of the research proposal:

FEASIBILITY OF THE PROJECT PROPOSAL

To what extent is the proposed methodology feasible in the given research environment?

Please evaluate the profile and qualifications of the research team and the facilities available for performing the research.

Please evaluate the feasibility of the work plan.

(Take into consideration if the project proposal is feasible with regard to the goals and results, publication plan as well as the available resources. Does the project proposal state all potential risks and include a contingency plan if required?)

Please summarize your assessment of the feasibility of the proposed project:

PROJECT PROPOSAL'S STRENGHTS

(Please give a short description. Minimum 50 words.)

PROJECT PROPOSAL'S WEAKNESSES

(Please give a short description. Minimum 50 words.)

Croatian Science Foundation

Project funding recommendation:

Please choose one of the following options:

- A I recommend funding the project proposal in its present form.
- B I recommend funding the project after the PI has considered the optional revisions mentioned in the report, and if sufficient funds are available.
- *C* The project proposal requires significant modifications and is not suitable for funding in its present form.